

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA	OISCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0310538 DATE: 6/17/2014	ARRIVE:	DEPART:		
FACILITY NAME: OLDCASTLE COASTAL-JA	CKSONVILLE PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 5959 SOUTEL DR	t			
JACKSONVILLE	32219-3739			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: lester.ramsey@oldcastle.com CONTACT NAME: JOHN WIDELL* Email: john.widell@oldcastle.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/21/2012 / 5/21/2012 (effective date) (end december 1)	/2017	PHONE: (904)713-9996 Mobile: PHONE: (813)367-9786 Mobile: (813)373-0995	0	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Lester Ram Brief Notes: Lester Ramsey is now the Authoriz	nse <u>y</u>		(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
Is the Authorized Representative still GREG NIT If no, who is?: <u>Lester Ramsey</u>	*		☐ Yes	
If different, did the facility provide an administration 3. Is the facility contact still JOHN WIDELL*? If no, who is?:	tive update within 30 days?	·	☐ Yes ☐No ☐No	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at le			☐ Yes	

Emissions Unit Section

1 –CCB Plant-silo#1 Center (white cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 4/10/2013 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	□ No ⊠ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes	☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section
2 – CCB Plant-silo#2 North (gray cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 4/10/2013 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	ļ
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	ļ
	ļ
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	ļ
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X Yes	☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? Yes 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions not being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	☐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-silo#3 South (slag) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	·	
1. Date of last inspection: 4/10/2014 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Ruie 02-290.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Foints, Roads, Farking Areas, Stock Files, and Talus		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by: 	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f		
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	⊠ Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	- Xes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	- Xes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	□ v	□ N-
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	∐ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-brick/payer tumbler w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

4-CCB Frame-brick/paver tumbler w/baghouse subject to Reasonable Frecautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
1. Date of last inspection: 4/10/2014 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	□ No □ No □ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined			
emissions by:			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	☐ No		
control emissions?	☐ No		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? X Yes	☐ No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ No ☐ No		

Emissions Unit Section 5 – CCB Plant-block/brick mfg plant, w/central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 4/10/2014 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	□ No ⊠ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	ļ
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	ļ
	ļ
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	ļ
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X Yes	No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	¬ .,
particulate matter? Yes [4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	No
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗵 Yes	□ No
2. If reasonable precautions not being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	No

Facility Section (continued)

~			1
<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check ☑ box for each	•
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes	☐ No☐ No☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		□ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 1.3 mm gal	e/yr aption	? □ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ✓ only one box for each question)			
	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RI	RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one				
1.	Is the facility: stationary \boxtimes ; relocatable \square ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \square concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>)	box for each ag question 2.)	•		
	Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes	☐ No		
	 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 		☐ No		
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(Yes	☐ No		
	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	Yes	☐ No		
3.	If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose?		☐ No		
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		□ No		
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes	☐ No		
<u>C</u> 1	CHANGES (check ✓ only one box for each question)				
1. 2. <u>Ne</u>	Mere there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	nits or	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo		
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee su 30 days prior to the change? ————————————————————————————————————	bmitted Yes	☐ No		
Br	renda Johnson 17 June 2014				
	Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection				
	2015				
	Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next In	spection			

COMMENTS: Facility was in operation at the time of inspection. Records and VE reports were reviewed and last VE was done on 8/21/2013. Facility walk through was performed with Mr. Lester Ramsey-Site Manager. He is now the facility representative for Oldcastle. Facility was clean from any particulate matter/or emissions leaving the plant. No violations were noted at the time of inspection.